Original Research Article

To evaluate stress patterns in the periodontal ligament of maxillary molars when intruded with a mousetrap appliance: A FEM study

Shahindah Shah^{1,*}

¹Dept. of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Dental Sciences and Research, Mullana Ambala, Haryana, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 05-11-2020

Accepted 10-11-2020

Available online 18-11-2020

Keywords:

TADs

molar intrusion

mousetrap appliance

ABSTRACT

Mousetrap appliance is an effective treatment modality for anterior open bite that utilizes implants inserted into the anterior palate and brings about open bite correction by intruding the maxillary molars. This is a finite element study that assesses the stress contours around maxillary molars and in the periodontal ligament of the maxillary molars when they are intruded by a mousetrap appliance.

Materials and Methods: A finite element model of the maxilla and the mousetrap appliance made of 288332 elements and 64771 nodes was generated using software tools like MIMICS and HYPERMESH. A simulated force of 100 grams was applied to the maxillary molar through the appliance and the stress contours were assessed.

Results: Maximum tensile stress was observed at furcation region of the PDL and was around 4.49e-3 MPa (0.00449MPa) whereas maximum Von-Mises stress was observed at cervical region on both the lingual and buccal side which was around 2.06e-2MPa (0.0206MPa).

Conclusion: It is therefore concluded that the mousetrap appliance which exerts a force within the range of recommended force for molar intrusion generates stress contours around the maxillary molar and in the periodontal ligament of the maxillary molar.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

Anterior open bite characterized by an absence of vertical overlap between the maxillary and mandibular incisors, appears to be the most challenging malocclusion in the vertical plane.¹ In most of the cases it is treated by extraction of molars or premolars leading to mesialization of the posterior teeth thereby resulting in an anticlockwise rotation of the mandible. Surgical options i.e. Le Fort I osteotomy and mandibular osteotomy are also considered in certain cases. Various other treatment modalities e.g. multiloop edgewise archwires, vertical elastics or extrusion arches are also available for management of such malocclusion. “Mousetrap appliance” is also an effective treatment modality for the correction of an anterior open

bite. It uses TADs in the anterior palate to fix a beneplate, along with two lever arms which is connected to two mini-implants. A modified Goshgarian TPA with distal loop is fabricated with sufficient clearance from the palatal mucosa to avoid impingement during and after molar intrusion and also to prevent undesirable tipping of the molars. In the passive form the distal ends of lever arms are present cranial to the center of resistance of the maxillary molars. Activation is performed by pulling the lever arms downward and then connecting them to the molars thereby resulting in a constant intrusive force.²(Figure 1)

This article intends to investigate the stress distribution around the maxillary molars and the stress contours on the periodontal ligament of the maxillary molars on application of an intrusive force by Mousetrap appliance on a 3 Dimensional finite element model.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sky.sliver@yahoo.com (S. Shah).

Fig. 1:

2. Materials and Methods

Finite element analysis involves construction of complicated three dimensional models of various tissues possessing characteristic biomechanical properties.³In the present study, such a model was generated from the Computed Tomography images of human cranium obtained from an X-force/SH spiral CT scan machine. A geometric model was constructed using a software called MIMICS i.e. Materialize Interactive Medical Image Control System which was then converted into FEM model using the modelling tool known as ‘Hypermesh’. 288332 elements and 64771 nodes were used and the material properties assigned to the various parts were acquired from an existing study.⁴ (Table 1). The boundary conditions were defined by constraining the top portion of the maxillary bone in all directions so that there would be no displacement or stress in that area. An intrusive force of 100 grams was applied to the model through the appliance and the resulting effect was assessed by a finite element software known as ANSYS.

Table 1:

Part	Elastic modulus (MPa)	Poisson's ratio
Cortical bone	13700	0.3
Cancellous bone	1370	0.3
Teeth	20700	0.3
PDL	0.068	0.45
Brackets, Wires, beneplate (SS)	200000	0.3
Mini screws (Ti)	110000	0.29

3. Results

3.1. The stress distribution around the maxillary molar

Maximum von Mises stress was observed at lingual aspect and was around 8.49 MPa. (Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5) Maximum tensile stress was observed at lingual aspect which was around 5.4MPa whereas the maximum compressive stress was observed at the cervical region and was around 2.28 MPa.

3.2. Stress contours in the periodontal ligament

Maximum tensile stress was observed at the furcation region which was around 4.49e-3 MPa (0.00449MPa) whereas

Fig. 2: Mesial view

Fig. 3: Lingual view

Fig. 4: Distal view

Fig. 5: Buccal View

maximum Von-mises stress was observed at cervical region on both lingual and buccal side which was around 2.06e-2MPa (0.0206MPa) (Figures 6 and 7).

Fig. 6: Von-Mises stress contours

Fig. 7: Stress contours in First molar PDL 'MPa'

4. Discussion

Conversion of mechanical stimulus generated by the orthodontic force into a biological reaction initiates an orthodontic tooth movement. The primary reaction towards application of orthodontic force is altered stress-strain relationship in the periodontal ligament as well as in the

surrounding tissues leading to bending of bone and intra alveolar displacement of teeth, provided an optimum force is applied. Some investigators have pointed out that intrusive forces lead to pulpal changes like congestion, circulatory disturbances, vacuolization and fibrohyalinosis.^{5,6} Brodin et al concluded that there was a temporary reduction in pulpal blood flow when lateral incisors were intruded with a force of 2N.⁷ Proffit and Fields suggested that 10-20 grams of force was optimum for carrying out intrusion while as Woodside, Hanson and Berger recommended 50-10grams. Umemori et al suggested that an intrusive force of 500 gms should be applied for molar intrusion while as a force of 90 gms was suggested for growing subjects by Kalra et al.^{8,9} Melson and Fiorelli recommended a force of 50 gms buccolingually to intrude maxillary molars in adult subjects.¹⁰ Li et al intruded two over erupted molars by using mini-implants and applying a force of 150 grams. They evaluated the root resorption using CBCT and the results showed that the mesiobuccal root of the first molar showed highest root resorption.¹¹ As it is very important for the stress to be within the physiological constraints of the tissues, in the existing literature, authors recommend intrusive forces within the range of 15-200 grams.¹² There are innumerable studies on different types of posterior intrusion mechanics, however their biomechanical effects such as stress patterns have not been evaluated in detail.

Finite element method is a viable mean for calculating these quantities. Originally the finite element method was devised for modelling in the field of Engineering but now it has also made its place in the field of dentistry to assess various materials and loading conditions. Yettram et al in 1972 introduced it into the field of orthodontics.¹³ The basic philosophy behind the finite element method is breaking down complex structures into simpler pieces called elements that can be conveniently defined by differential equations.¹⁴

Choi et al in their Finite element method study observed the Von Mises stresses at the centre of furcation of the first molar roots while Dawer et al recorded it at the palatal root close to the furcation which was about 2.133 MPa i.e. less than that observed in this study.^{10,15} Pekhale et al evaluated the stress patterns on the posterior maxillary segment after intrusion with the help of mini-implants by applying a force of 300 grams to each dental segment and found 17.019 N/mm² of Von Mises stress on the buccal surface of the crown of maxillary first molar.⁴ In the present study maximum tensile stress was observed at lingual aspect and was around 5.4MPa while as compressive stress was observed at the cervical region and was around 2.28 MPa (Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5). Maximum Von Mises stress was observed at lingual aspect and was around 8.49MPa which is more than the values recorded by Dawer et al.¹⁰

A force of 100 gram/cm²(0.01N/mm²) was suggested by Bench et al as the ideal stress for the periodontal

ligament which maintains the vascularity and prevents hyalinization.¹⁶ Jeon et al emphasized on the consistency of the compression and tension in the periodontium with the load type. Cifter and Sarac found increased stress levels in the apical region of the mesial root of first molar and concluded that these sites are more prone to resorption.¹⁴ They suggested that appropriate forces should be calculated on the basis of the root surface area. In contrast to the present study Dawar et al observed the lowest Von Mises stress in the periodontal ligament at the furcation region which was around 0.005MPa.¹⁰ In the present study maximum tensile stress in the periodontal ligament was observed at furcation region and was around 4.49e-3 MPa (0.00449MPa), and maximum von-mises stress was observed at cervical region on both lingual and buccal side and was around 2.06e-2MPa (0.0206MPa) (Figures 6 and 7). The values recorded are more than those observed by Dawar et al.¹⁰

5. Conclusion

Following are the observations of this study

1. The maximum tensile stress observed at lingual aspect of the maxillary first molar was around 5.4MPa while maximum compressive stress of 2.28 MPa was seen at the cervical region. However, maximum Von Mises stress of 8.49MPa was found to be present at lingual aspect.
2. In relation to the periodontium maximum tensile stress was observed at furcation region and was around 4.49e-3 MPa (0.00449MPa) whereas maximum Von-Mises stress was observed at cervical region on both the lingual and buccal side which was around 2.06e-2MPa (0.0206MPa).

6. Source of Funding

No financial support was received for the work within this manuscript.

7. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Vela-Hernández A, López-García R, García-Sanz V, Paredes-Gallardo V, Lasagabaster-Latorre F. Nonsurgical treatment of skeletal anterior open bite in adult patients: Posterior build-ups. *Angle Orthod* .

- 2017;87(1):33–40. doi:10.2319/030316-188.1.
2. Wilmes B, Nienkemper MA, Ludwig B, Nanda R, Drescher D. Upper-molar intrusion using anterior palatal anchorage and the Mousetrap appliance. *J Clin Orthod*. 2013;47(5):314.
3. Nanda R. Biomechanics and Esthetic Strategies in Clinical Orthodontics. New York: Elsevier Inc; 2005. p. 156–76.
4. Pekhale N, Maheshwari A, Kumar M, Kerudi VV, Patil H, Patil B, et al. Evaluation of stress patterns on maxillary posterior segment when intruded with mini implant anchorage: A three-dimensional finite element study. *APOS Trends Orthod*. 2016;6:18–23. doi:10.4103/2321-1407.173726.
5. Stenvik A, Mjor I. Pulp and dentin reactions to experimental tooth intrusion: a histologic study of the initial changes. *Am J Orthod*. 1970;57:370–85.
6. Mostafa YA, Iskander KG, El-Mangoury NH. Iatrogenic pulpal reactions to orthodontic extrusion. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 1991;99(1):30–4. doi:10.1016/s0889-5406(05)81677-4.
7. Brodin P, Linge L, Aars H. Instant assessment of pulpal blood flow after orthodontic force application. *J Orofac Orthop*. 1996;57:306–9.
8. Umemori M, Sugawara J, Mitani H, Nagasaka H, Kawamura H. Skeletal anchorage system for open-bite correction. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 1999;115(2):166–74. doi:10.1016/s0889-5406(99)70345-8.
9. Kalra V, Orth D, Burstone CJ, Nanda R. Effects of a fixed magnetic appliance on the dentofacial complex. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 1989;95(6):467–78. doi:10.1016/0889-5406(89)90410-1.
10. Dawar M, Kumar CHS, Kumar M, Ansari A, Jain AK, Gandhi R, et al. Placement of mini implants for the intrusion of maxillary molar- A FEM study. *TMU J Dent*. 2015;2(3):89–92.
11. Li W, Chen F, Zhang F, Ding W, Ye Q, Shi J, et al. Volumetric measurement of root resorption following molar mini-screw implant intrusion using cone beam computed tomography. *PloS one*. 2009;8:60962.
12. Al-Zubair NM. Orthodontic intrusion: A contemporary review. *J Orthod Res*. 2014;2(3):118. doi:10.4103/2321-3825.140625.
13. Yettram AL, Wright KWJ, Houston WJB. Centre of Rotation of a Maxillary Central Incisor under Orthodontic Loading. *Br J Orthod*. 1977;4(1):23–7. doi:10.1179/bjo.4.1.23.
14. Çifter M, Saraç M. Maxillary posterior intrusion mechanics with mini-implant anchorage evaluated with the finite element method. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 2011;140(5):e233–41. doi:10.1016/j.ajodo.2011.06.019.
15. Choi SH, Kim SJ, Lee KJ, Sung SJ, Chun YS, Hwang CJ, et al. Stress distributions in peri-miniscrew areas from cylindrical and tapered miniscrews inserted at different angles. *Korean J Orthod*. 2016;46:189–98.
16. Bench RW, Gugino CF, Hilgers JJ. Forces used in bioprogressive therapy. *J Clin Orthod*. 1978;12:123–39.

Author biography

Shahindah Shah, Post Graduate Student

Cite this article: Shah S. To evaluate stress patterns in the periodontal ligament of maxillary molars when intruded with a mousetrap appliance: A FEM study. *IP Indian J Orthod Dentofacial Res* 2020;6(4):251-254.