Retrospective analysis of size and morphology of sella turcica in different skeletal patterns in Madinah, Saudi Arabia
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Abstract
Introduction: Local and genetic factors influence size and shape of Sella Turcica (ST) and as such this may impact many parameters including Sella reference point for orthodontic treatment planning. Our aim was set to measure and assess ST morphology and link it with gender and different skeletal patterns.

Methods: This retrospective study conducted on the lateral cephalometric radiographs of 175 patients 15 years and above attending Taibah University Dental College and Hospital between 2011 and 2017. The patients’ radiographs meeting inclusion criteria were stratified by gender and divided into three groups (Class I, II, and III) according to the skeletal classification. Length, depth and Anteroposterior (A-P) diameter of ST were measured using a cephalometric software program (Vista Dent) and the shape of ST according to established classifications in the literature. Descriptive statistics and inferential analysis (e.g. one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)) were performed.

Results: The mean/SD age of the patients was 27.43±7.54. The mean/SD length, depth and A-P diameter of ST for male and females were 10.56±1.68, 7.83±1.62, 11.98±1.90, 7.00±2.58, 6.81±1.64, 10.17± 1.86, respectively. There were significant differences (p< 0.05) between male and females in ST size of all skeletal classes apart from the depth in both skeletal class I and II (p>0.05). As for ST morphology 53% of patients had normal Sella shape. While comparing Sella shape with different skeletal classes there were significant differences with circular shape 55% in class I and flat shape 53.3% in class II patients.

Conclusions: Gender differences in ST size has been further confirmed in this study sample with the size significantly larger in males. Also, normal shape of ST is more common than other shape variations. This study can be used as a reference guide for future studies about ST size and morphology.
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Introduction
S-point, the geometric center of Sella Turcica (ST), is one of the most commonly used landmarks on Lateral Cephalometric Radiographs (LCR). S-point acts as a reference point for evaluating both jaws as they relate to each other and to the cranial base, and any changes in size or morphology of ST would affect that relation and might interpret normal variations or pathological conditions.1

The shape of ST was investigated by many researches describing it in either healthy or diseased subjects. Previous studies showed that ST shape could have different variations that are shared equally between normal and abnormal patients,2,4,8 while some shape variations could be linked to specific medical conditions.5,7 Another important aspect is the size of ST, as it was assessed from radiographs typically ranges from 4 to 12 mm for the vertical and from 5 to 16 mm for the A-P diameters, if any deviation from the normal size and shape of ST detected, it could be considered as a pathologic condition of the gland.4 Increased size of pituitary gland maybe a sign of a pituitary tumor which is associated with over producing of some hormones causing a variety of signs and symptoms like Cushing’s syndrome, acromegaly, and amenorrhea.3 In addition, some patients with enlarged size of ST such as in gigantism and acromegaly show specific skeletal relation (Class III skeletal).

In SA there is a paucity of research of ST morphology and size. A recent study in one of the highly populated city, Riyadh, SA reported size and shape of ST in different skeletal types showed the frequency of normal ST shape was 67 % and shape varies by 33.8 As the ST varies in normal individual and are influenced by genetic and local factors,5,9 therefore, studying ST size and morphology for different skeletal type in different context is needed particular in areas with diverse ethnicities such as Madinah SA. Our aim was set to measure ST dimensions and assess its morphology in relation to gender and different skeletal patterns.

Materials and Methods
Study design and study setting
This was a retrospective study that included a convenience sample of LCRs for patients who came for orthodontic treatment over the period between 2011-2017. The LCRs were obtained from R4 Carestream (CS) Clinical and Practice Management Software Database Archives, (CS, Health, Inc. Rochester, NY, USA) at the Department of Pedodontics & Orthodontics, Taibah University Dental College and Hospital, Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
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Inclusion and exclusion criteria, sampling and sample size calculation

The LCRs for male or female aged >15-years-old and with good quality LCRs i.e. a clear reproduction of ST (e.g. not pale, not dark and no artifacts) were set as inclusion criteria in the study. Patients with chronic diseases, bone tumors, skeletal alterations, without pre-treatment LCR, history of orthodontic treatment or orthognathic surgery, history of facial trauma and skull surgery were excluded from the study.

Of all the records (920) for the aforementioned period 342 did not meet the inclusion criteria and were excluded. The remaining 578 LCRS have undergone a multistage randomization. First, LCRs were stratified according to gender into two main groups (Males & Females) then each group was subdivided into 3 subgroups according to skeletal class (Class I, II, and III), after which samples randomly selected from each stratum using a random number generator website.

The sample size for the study was estimated as 175 LCRs using Open epi version 3, considering the confidence level at 95%, with expected frequency 75%. The sample was distributed as follow 90 LCRs for male with 30 LCRs allocated for each class likewise for female apart from Class III where only 25 LCRs were available.

Lateral cephalometric radiograph records measurements of ST

The KODAK 9000 Extra oral Imaging System at 80 kvp, 10 mA was used with 0.500 second exposure time. Digital software (Vista Dent) was used for analysis of the lateral cephalometric radiographs. This included measuring the size of ST and as such running cephalometric analysis to determine the skeletal classification. As for measuring the size (length, depth, AP diameter) of ST, the linear measurements in the current study located in the midsagittal plane as suggested by Silverman.10

1. The length of ST determined by measuring the distance from the tuberculum sella to the tip of the dorsum sella.
2. The depth of the ST determined by measuring a perpendicular line from the line above to the deepest point on the floor.
3. The antero-posterior diameter of ST: determined by a line drawn from the tuberculum sella to the furthest point on the posterior inner wall of the fossa (Figure 1).

With respect to shape of ST the two well-known classifications of ST for Gordon and Bell (1922) and Axelsson et al (2004) were used to determine the ST shape. Gordon and Bell11 suggested three different morphological appearances, circular, oval, and flat sella shapes (Figure 2). However, Axelsson et al12 proposed six different morphological appearances of ST: 1) normal; 2) oblique anterior wall; 3) irregularity (notching) in the posterior part of the dorsum sellae 4) bridging; 5) double contour of the floor; and 6) pyramidal shape of the dorsum sellae (Figure 3).

Ethical considerations

The study was reviewed and granted approval (TUCDREC/2018102/Qutub) by Research Ethical Committee of Taibah University. All procedures followed were in accordance with the Helsinki declarations, patients’ personal information were coded and the researchers only had access to the records.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean±SD and frequency and percentages) was performed to report sample demographic characteristics and ST dimensions. The intra and inter raters’ reliability (test re-test intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC]) was checked and ICC was between 0.98-0.99. Bivariate analysis chi-squared test was performed to examine association of gender with ST classifications and unpaired T-Test to compare the ST dimension (length, depth and AP diameter) between males and females. Also, ANOVA was run to compare the means of the ST dimensions for the three different skeletal classes and the means of the three dimensions for the three skeletal within genders (male and female). If ANOVA was significant a post hoc pairwise comparisons using Bonferroni correction method was run to identify significantly different pairs. The significant level was set at p ≤ 0.05 for all tests. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences Software (SPSS) for windows was used for data analysis.

Fig. 1: Linear measurements of ST. L- length, D- depth, and APD- antroposterior diameter

Fig. 2: Classification of the three types of ST: (A) Circular, (B) Oval, (C) Flat Based on Gordon and Bell.
Results

The mean±SD age of the patients was 27.43± 7.54 years. The age for male in skeletal Class I, II, III was 27.60 ±10.69, 26.76 ±6.75, 25.46 ±5.43 years and for female was 27.53 ±7.27, 28.16 ±5.68, 29.36 ±8.35 years, respectively.

Gender comparisons of ST skeletal size

The total comparisons of skeletal size (length, depth and A-P diameter) for Class I, II, III for both male and females revealed that male had statistically significant (p <0.05) larger length, depth and A-P diameter (Table 1). The differences between male and female in ST size was further observed per each skeletal class (Table 1), apart from the depth in Class I and class II which were comparable between both groups (p>0.05).

Comparisons of ST size between different skeletal classifications

In (Table 2) the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) shows that there were no statistical significant differences (p>0.05) in length, depth and A-P diameter between all skeletal classifications. This was further observed between skeletal classes grouped based on gender (p>0.05).

Sella Turcica shapes

According to Gordon and Bell’s classification our results revealed a majority of circular 43% and flat 39% ST shapes.

Table 1: Comparison of ST dimensions based on gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total Male (n=90)</th>
<th>Total Female (n=85)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10.56 ±1.68</td>
<td>6.99 ±2.58</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>7.87 ±1.61</td>
<td>6.80 ±1.63</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P Diameter</td>
<td>11.98 ±1.90</td>
<td>10.17 ±1.86</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of ST dimensions between different skeletal classes, using one-way ANOVA test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Both genders Skeletal I (n=60)</th>
<th>Skeletal II (n=60)</th>
<th>Skeletal III (n=55)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>9.00 ±2.83</td>
<td>8.75 ±2.84</td>
<td>8.73 ±2.77</td>
<td>0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>7.43 ±1.79</td>
<td>7.22 ±1.55</td>
<td>7.33 ±1.77</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P Diameter</td>
<td>11.17 ±2.09</td>
<td>11.13±1.88</td>
<td>10.98 ±2.29</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Skeletal Class I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male Skeletal I (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal II (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal III (n=30)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10.91 ±1.34</td>
<td>10.37 ±1.82</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>7.69 ±1.84</td>
<td>7.61 ±1.41</td>
<td>8.17 ±1.55</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P Diameter</td>
<td>12.23 ±1.82</td>
<td>11.65 ±2.05</td>
<td>12.05 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Skeletal Class II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male Skeletal I (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal II (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal III (n=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10.37 ±1.82</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>7.61 ±1.41</td>
<td>7.62 ±2.34</td>
<td>8.17 ±1.55</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P Diameter</td>
<td>12.23 ±1.82</td>
<td>11.65 ±2.05</td>
<td>12.05 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Skeletal Class III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male Skeletal I (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal II (n=30)</th>
<th>Male Skeletal III (n=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>10.40 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>8.17 ±1.55</td>
<td>8.33 ±1.49</td>
<td>9.71 ±2.17</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P Diameter</td>
<td>12.05 ±1.83</td>
<td>11.65 ±2.05</td>
<td>12.05 ±1.83</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the current study, data from subjects of 15 years and older were analyzed retrospectively to investigate the morphological variations in structure and size of the ST in both genders with different skeletal classes in Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia.

In regards to the size of ST, we have detected a significant difference in the three dimensions (length, depth, and AP diameter) between males and females in all three-skeletal classes with males showing larger dimensions. These findings contrary to number of studies,8,13,16 which reported no statistically significant dimensions’ differences based on gender and these studies were reported from different settings (Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Iraq, Nigeria and India). One should consider the role of several factors such as: age, ethnicity, geographic area and method of measuring of the study samples. However, when considering certain dimension of ST size among male and female, multiple studies12,17,19 showed that there was a significant difference in the length of ST based on gender. Notably, adult males had bigger length compared to adult females and these findings in line with our study findings.

Upon comparing ST dimensions based on the skeletal class, no significant differences were noted in this study between Class I, II, or III, not even upon comparing skeletal classes under the same gender. Most previous studies13,14,16,19,21 reported coinciding findings, more specifically Yousif et al, Shah et al, and Valizadeh et al investigated skeletal differences in subjects older than 15 years. Similar to our investigation we did involve those age groups to avoid any changes in the size or shape of ST due to remodeling at younger ages.22 On the contrary only Alkofide8 and Sathyanarayana et al12 showed significant difference between diameter and length of ST and the skeletal class, being both larger in skeletal class III. Unlike the current study they included young age groups as young as 9 years old that might played a role in the findings.

Gordon and Bell were among the first who categorized the shape of ST in normal individuals based on how it appeared on a sagittal cross section on the projected radiograph. They described three basic shapes: circular, oval, and flat. Their investigations on 104 normal children revealed the predominance of the circular shape in most age groups.11 Moreover, 1000 adults were studied by Camp for their ST shape and concluded that most shapes were either circular or oval.2 Preston had similar findings in a sample of 182 Caucasians in which flat sellae were revealed in less than 10% of the subjects, and could not find a relationship between any shape and the skeletal class.19 In a sample of 228 Nigerians, Zagger et al23 found that oval ST was significantly greater than other shapes (83%). On the contrary, a study on 200 Koreans revealed that the floor of ST was of flat in more than half of the subjects.24 In the current study, circular shape showed predominance 43%, but unlike previous studies flat shape also predominated with 39%. In addition, skeletal Class I showed 55% subjects with circular ST, skeletal Class II showed 53% flat ST, while skeletal Class III was almost evenly distributed over the three shapes.

In 2004, Axelsson et al. elaborated on a more detailed description of the different shapes of normal ST12, in which it was the most popularly used in the recent literature. They have described five variations from the normal sella shape that was originally based on the sella described by Bjork and Skjeieller.25 They found that 68% of ST had normal shape, and several other studies were in consistent with normal shape ranging between 61% and 76%.8,13,14,17 Our finding was comparable, with 53% of ST had normal shape. On the contrary, few studies showed that less than half of the subjects had normal shape.16,20,21

### Table 3: Distribution of the three shapes based on Gordon and Bell classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape Type</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Skeletal Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>29 (32.2)</td>
<td>47 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>19 (21.1)</td>
<td>12 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>42 (46.7)</td>
<td>26 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Frequency, (%)

### Table 4: Distribution of the six shapes based on Axelsson’s classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape Type</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Skeletal Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>63 (70)</td>
<td>30 (35.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique anterior</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>14 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular dorsum</td>
<td>6 (6.7)</td>
<td>17 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>9 (10.0)</td>
<td>9 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double contour</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>13 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramidal</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Frequency, (%)

### Discussion

In the current study data from subjects of 15 years and older were analyzed retrospectively to investigate the morphological variations in structure and size of the ST in both genders with different skeletal classes in Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia.

In regards to the size of ST, we have detected a significant difference in the three dimensions (length, depth, and AP diameter) between males and females in all three-skeletal classes with males showing larger dimensions. These findings contrary to number of studies,8,13,16 which reported no statistically significant dimensions’ differences based on gender and these studies were reported from different settings (Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Iraq, Nigeria and India). One should consider the role of several factors such as: age, ethnicity, geographic area and method of measuring of the study samples. However, when considering certain dimension of ST size among male and female, multiple studies12,17,19 showed that there was a significant difference in the length of ST based on gender. Notably, adult males had bigger length compared to adult females and these findings in line with our study findings.

Upon comparing ST dimensions based on the skeletal class, no significant differences were noted in this study between Class I, II, or III, not even upon comparing skeletal classes under the same gender. Most previous studies13,14,16,19,21 reported coinciding findings, more specifically Yousif et al, Shah et al, and Valizadeh et al investigated skeletal differences in subjects older than 15 years. Similar to our investigation we did involve those age groups to avoid any changes in the size or shape of ST due to remodeling at younger ages.22 On the contrary only Alkofide8 and Sathyanarayana et al12 showed significant difference between diameter and length of ST and the skeletal class, being both larger in skeletal class III. Unlike the current study they included young age groups as young as 9 years old that might played a role in the findings.
In our study, shape variations were predominantly seen in females 65%, while males showed only 30% shape variations. One variation was an oblique anterior wall of ST, in literature it presented a range of as low as 4% up to 14.5%, except for 2 studies in Iran and India where they showed high occurrence with 20% and 29%, respectively. In the current study, oblique anterior wall was seen in 13% of the cases in line with the range seen from previous studies. In previous studies, ST with irregularities in the posterior wall ranged between 5.5% and 22%, our finding was within the range of 13%.

In regards to bridging of ST, results from previous studies were oscillating. Alkofide et al. reported prevalence of 1.1% and 0.76%, respectively, and Shah et al. did not detect any cases with ST bridging. Valizadeh et al. reported a relatively very high bridging prevalence of 23% in Iranian adults, most of them were of skeletal Class III type. In most studies bridging was in the range of 7.5% - 11%. Alkofide and Magat et al. reported a relatively lower prevalence 3.5% -10%, and Magat et al. reported unusual higher prevalence 14% and 15.5%, respectively. Our finding was in consistence with most studies having a prevalence of 2.3%.

The main strengths of the study include the range of age investigated in this study compared to other studies elsewhere, in addition, the setting of the study i.e. this area is well known by diverge ethnicity groups though not investigated and finally, the consistency (calibration and reliability of measurements) in measuring different aspect of ST. However, limitations of the study were identified and this included data obtained was from one center, therefore, generalizability of the results is not appropriate.

Conclusion
Significant gender variations in size of the ST was found among this study sample though the size of ST was non-significantly different between the three skeletal classes, notably, larger size among males. In addition, normal shape of ST was more common than other shape variations, while most of the variations were predominant in females. ST bridging was not limited to Class III skeletal as majority of subjects were of skeletal Class I and II types. Class I skeletal individuals showed more circular shape sella and Class II showed more flat shape sella. The results of this study could be considered as a reference for ST shape and dimensions in Madinah, and addition to the pool of knowledge in Saudi Arabia and the region, which together with the existing data base will provide a valuable guide and norms for future studies.
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